

Coroner's Inquests into the London Bombings of 7 July 2005

Hearing transcripts - 3 December 2010 - Afternoon session

1 Friday, 3rd December 2010

2 (12.00 noon)

3 Directions hearing in open session

4 LADY JUSTICE HALLET: We have relegated Mr Saunders and

5 Ms Gallagher to the back row, have we?

6 MR ANDREW O'CONNOR: My Lady, I was going to say

7 Ms Gallagher and Mr Saunders have joined us. They have

8 been asked to sit in the back row as the microphone

9 arrangements are different from our usual arrangements.

10 The only spare one was on the back row. That's why, for

11 no other reason, they are sitting at the back.

12 My Lady, may I say something about the process we

13 have had in the last day and a half? There has been

14 what, in our submission, has been a very useful hearing

15 at which substantial progress has been made relating to

16 disclosure on the preventability issue. Mainly, my

17 Lady, there are details about that procedure that

18 I cannot give in open session. I am able, however, to

19 explain at a level of generality the stage that we have

20 reached.

21 As far as documents are concerned, my Lady, I would

22 like to point out initially that there is a considerable

23 quantity of transcripts from the Crevice and Theseus

24 trials, which I recall Mr O'Connor, Queen's counsel,

25 made specific reference to in some of the earlier

1 directions hearings that have already been obtained by  
2 Mr Smith and placed on Lextranet. That material, in  
3 fact, amounts to some 11,000 pages of transcript.  
4 As far as the disclosure process between the  
5 interested parties in these proceedings is concerned,  
6 there is a substantial further tranche of material which  
7 consists of documents like surveillance logs during the  
8 Crevice investigation, internal documents,  
9 communications between the various law enforcement  
10 agencies involved and documents of that type which will  
11 need to be redacted, but as to which we have been making  
12 significant progress, and, as I say, a substantial  
13 volume of that material is likely to be redacted and  
14 disclosed on Lextranet within the next two weeks.  
15 There will be further similar documents in that  
16 category that will follow, we hope, shortly thereafter.  
17 My Lady, you are aware, and reference is made in the  
18 ISC report to, an organisation called the ELG, I believe  
19 the Extraordinary Liaison Group -- I may have got the  
20 "E" wrong. Executive Liaison Group, an interagency,  
21 a group that met every day during the Crevice  
22 investigation.  
23 We have been considering in some detail the  
24 disclosure of the minutes of that organisation.  
25 Unsurprisingly, the minutes themselves contain sensitive

1 material. The intention is that a lengthy gist of the  
2 entirety of the minutes of that body will be disclosed  
3 within the next two weeks.

4 Moving on, we have two witness statements from a man  
5 named Gilbertson, which are of relevance both to the  
6 preventability and, in a sense, to the background issue.  
7 He describes goings-on at the Iqra bookshop. Those  
8 statements will be released on Lextranet in the normal  
9 way, I imagine, within the next week.

10 My Lady, there are further documents that have been  
11 the subject of --

12 LADY JUSTICE HALLET: Just pausing there, were there not  
13 concerns about those statements?

14 MR ANDREW O'CONNOR: My Lady, I don't believe so.

15 MR SKELT: I will try to avoid being Machiavellian, if  
16 I can. The gist that we are awaiting from the inquest  
17 team in relation to an individual may have bearing on  
18 the statements that my learned friend is referring to  
19 now. I apologise. We have not spoken about it.

20 LADY JUSTICE HALLET: It is not as simple as the statement  
21 being put on to Lextranet, as I recall.

22 MR SKELT: No, not quite. Can I, hopefully, put it this way  
23 without derailing all of the progress that has been  
24 made?

25 If the statements of Mr Gilbertson are not disclosed

1 within that narrow timescale, but on opportunity is  
2 given for reflection upon the gists that are forthcoming  
3 soon, then any potential difficulty with disclosure can  
4 be dealt with as a matter of priority, and certainly  
5 before, I would have thought, the Christmas break.

6 LADY JUSTICE HALLET: I am sorry, Mr O'Connor. It sounded  
7 as if it was very straightforward and I thought there  
8 was a little wrinkle.

9 MR ANDREW O'CONNOR: My Lady, plainly there is at least  
10 a little wrinkle. That's something that Mr Skelt and  
11 I can discuss further.

12 My Lady, as I was saying, there are further  
13 documents that have been the subject of disclosure and  
14 discussion that are more difficult to disclose. It is  
15 now clear that there will be PII claims made. The  
16 intention is at least that there will be a procedure  
17 that will lead to those PII claims being made and  
18 resolved by you in early January. It may be possible to  
19 do it a little earlier. Perhaps I can come back to that  
20 in a moment.

21 My Lady, witness statements from the West Yorkshire  
22 Police, the Metropolitan Police and the Security  
23 Service. There has been some discussion about the  
24 nature of the statements involved. We have been  
25 informed by those organisations respectively that the

1 Security Service propose to disclose and serve  
2 a statement from a single witness whom they would invite  
3 us to call. The Metropolitan Police propose to serve  
4 statements from two witnesses and the West Yorkshire  
5 Police, again, a single witness.

6 As far as the question of when those statements  
7 should be disclosed, you have heard some submissions in  
8 closed session and some provisional rulings have been  
9 made, but no doubt you will wish to hear from  
10 Ms Gallagher and Mr Saunders.

11 The provisional position that has been reached is  
12 that the parties will use their best endeavours to serve  
13 the witness statements on Mr Smith by 31st December and  
14 that, in any event, they will be served by 7th January.

15 If they are served on the 7th -- perhaps I should  
16 just add, as usual, although putting the statements on  
17 to Lextranet will be prioritised, it will take a day or  
18 two, depending on the length of the statements. So were  
19 they to be served on Friday, 7th December, one could  
20 expect them to appear on Lextranet Tuesday or Wednesday  
21 of the week after.

22 My Lady --

23 LADY JUSTICE HALLET: I think we had established that the  
24 statements essentially will be drawing on the source  
25 material which it is hoped will have been disclosed some

1 time before. It is not as if what's in the statement is  
2 going to be coming, one hopes, with any great sense of  
3 surprise.

4 MR ANDREW O'CONNOR: That's correct, my Lady. There will  
5 be, if you like, a developing picture. Certainly one  
6 would expect it to be the case that the open  
7 representatives will already have gained quite  
8 an understanding of the substance of this issue by the  
9 documents which will have been disclosed in advance.

10 My Lady, a separate matter, the ISC transcripts.

11 Again, a matter that Mr O'Connor, Queen's counsel,  
12 raised in particular.

13 The position there is that you and your team have  
14 not yet seen those transcripts, but detailed  
15 arrangements are in train, and the intention is that  
16 you, and we, will see them before Christmas.

17 My Lady, could I return then to a little more detail  
18 about the dates and procedure and so on? There is in  
19 the timetable a provision for a short hearing on  
20 disclosure and PII on the afternoon of 14th December.  
21 It is intended that that that will be probably a short  
22 closed hearing following up various processes that have  
23 been set in train in the last day or so. It is unlikely  
24 there will be a need for any open representation on that  
25 day.

1 21st December, which is a Tuesday, the day after we  
2 finish the King's Cross evidence, is also available --  
3 the morning, I should say, is also available for PII  
4 disclosure matters.

5 My Lady, there are two possibilities as far as that  
6 day is concerned. It will certainly and at least be  
7 used as a directions hearing to deal with the question  
8 of ruling on PII claims that, on your order, must have  
9 been served at the latest by the day before,  
10 20th December. If and to the extent that that course is  
11 followed, the intention would be, as I say, that the PII  
12 claims would be served by 20th December, directions  
13 given on the 21st, and then a hearing on 10th January,  
14 which is the Monday. It is the Monday before we start  
15 to hear Tavistock Square evidence on the Wednesday. On  
16 that day, there would be a hearing at which you would  
17 hear open and closed submissions on PII claims.

18 As I say, that is, as it were, the backstop route  
19 which will be undertaken whatever happens.

20 There is a possibility that at least some of the PII  
21 claims will be accelerated, and if they are, then it may  
22 be possible for them to be served, I think, on Friday,  
23 17th December, and then for you to hear argument on them  
24 at that hearing on 21st December.

25 If that were to be possible, then it would be

1 necessary for the open advocates to attend on the 21st,  
2 in order to make open submissions on those PII claims.  
3 As I say, my Lady, the question of whether that  
4 accelerated procedure will be possible at all is still  
5 unclear, and clearly it is something that we will keep  
6 the open advocates apprised of as the position develops.  
7 My Lady, that is what I wish to say about the  
8 procedure.

9 LADY JUSTICE HALLET: Thank you, Mr O'Connor.

10 I should say that I commented yesterday I thought we  
11 made a considerable amount of progress and expressed my  
12 appreciation for all the work that everybody has done to  
13 get us to this position. I felt far more positive at  
14 the end of yesterday than perhaps I had before.

15 As I say, I express my appreciation to you for the  
16 huge amount of work you have done, but also to all the  
17 other teams who I know have been working enormously hard  
18 to meet my timetable.

19 MR ANDREW O'CONNOR: I am grateful.

20 LADY JUSTICE HALLET: Ms Gallagher?

21 MS GALLAGHER: My Lady, could I just commence by indicating  
22 both myself and Mr Saunders are here. Between us we  
23 have spoken to all five legal teams representing the  
24 bereaved families. So the comments that we make, whilst  
25 they relate to our own individual clients and our own

1 individual teams are coloured by the conversations we  
2 have had with three other counsel, and with Mr Coltart  
3 and Mr Patterson -- both I and Mr Saunders have spoken  
4 to them -- and Ms Sheff, I believe Mr Saunders has  
5 spoken to her yesterday. Neither of us have spoken to  
6 her today.

7 Could I also, at the outset, just say that we are  
8 very grateful to you and to your inquest team for  
9 allowing us this opportunity? We realise there has been  
10 quite a frantic amount of activity over the last day and  
11 a half. There may be a question as to whether or not  
12 today was necessary. It is certainly very useful, from  
13 the point of view of the bereaved families, to have  
14 an insight, a window, into what's going on and  
15 a reassurance that the process is underway and that  
16 progress is being made.

17 We have a number of issues which I would like to  
18 address. If I could start with the witness statements  
19 issue, my Lady, the first matter which I just want to  
20 flag is a possible concern about the quality and number  
21 of witnesses that are proposed. Now, this is a heavily  
22 caveated concern. I am simply flagging it at this  
23 stage. I, of course, accept that we are going to have  
24 to see the witness material before we can make any  
25 proper comment, but what's currently proposed is one

1 witness from the Security Services, one witness from the  
2 West Yorkshire Police and two witnesses from the  
3 Metropolitan Police.

4 The first concern we would raise about that is these  
5 are plainly corporate-style witnesses. It may be, in  
6 order to properly explore the matters which are within  
7 the scope of this inquest as determined in May and as  
8 set out in the provisional index of factual issues, to  
9 explore those issues properly, we may need to question  
10 some witnesses further down the chain who made  
11 individual decisions rather than just corporate-style  
12 witnesses. It may be that those witnesses are  
13 insufficient, particularly in respect of MI5 and the  
14 West Yorkshire Police. I simply flag that as a possible  
15 concern at this stage.

16 We also note that, despite the fact that the  
17 Metropolitan Police don't feature large in ISC2 -- in  
18 fact, the focus in ISC2 is very much on the Security  
19 Services and the West Yorkshire Police -- the proposal  
20 is that the Metropolitan Police will have two witnesses,  
21 whereas the other two organisations will only have one.  
22 So without having seen the base material, all we can  
23 do is just flag that there is a possible issue there  
24 which we may need to explore in due course. That's  
25 relevant to the issue of timing, which I am going to

1     come to next.

2     The next issue I wanted to come to is, in respect of

3     witness statements, the timing proposal.

4     My Lady, I very much take on board what you have

5     said regarding the witness statements drawing on the

6     source material. So to a certain extent this concern is

7     tempered by the fact that we should have seen the source

8     material in advance. However, the timing which has been

9     outlined by Mr O'Connor is best endeavours for the

10    witness statements to be served by 31st December and, in

11    any event, for them to be served by 6th January.

12    My Lady, working backwards, we understand the

13    proposal is for preventability to start to be explored

14    on 16th February. That gives us a very, very tight

15    timetable, if we are only to get the witness statements

16    on 6th January, particularly if the bereaved families or

17    any other interested parties have concerns, having seen

18    those statements that, in fact, there are additional

19    witnesses who are required.

20    We are dealing with a five- to six-week timetable.

21    Through that five- to six-week timetable, of course, the

22    Tavistock Square evidence is going to go ahead and other

23    generic issues will go ahead.

24    I also note, particularly in light of the reference

25    made earlier to Mr Gilbertson that, while preventability

1 is provisionally slated to start on 16th February, as  
2 I understand it, the radicalisation on the bombers'  
3 backgrounds may be even earlier in February. That is a  
4 matter on which Hogan Lovells are the lead team,  
5 whereas, on preventability, of course, Anthony Gold are  
6 the lead team.

7 So we are concerned about that timetable and, on  
8 behalf of my clients, and also having spoken to the  
9 other counsel, our view would be that we simply must  
10 have those witness statements before Christmas, if it is  
11 to be workable to have a timetable with preventability  
12 commencing on 16th February.

13 The next issue I just want to come to is the matter  
14 of PII applications. We would urge the accelerated  
15 procedure which Mr O'Connor referred to, which would  
16 involve legal argument taking place on Tuesday,  
17 21st December, rather than legal argument taking place  
18 in early January. I believe the proposed date was  
19 10th January, two days before Tavistock Square  
20 commences.

21 Again, following the PII applications, depending on  
22 the outcome, there may be subsequent steps that need to  
23 be taken either by ourselves or by other parties. There  
24 may be further disclosure which is required if we are  
25 successful in the PII applications, in resisting any PII

1 applications, and again, we simply cannot do that  
2 realistically within a five- to six-week timeframe  
3 leading up to 16th February.

4 So we would urge that the accelerated procedure is  
5 followed and that 21st December is the chosen date. We  
6 appreciate that that puts pressure on the parties who  
7 are present and have been involved in the closed part of  
8 this procedure, my Lady, but for reasons which I will  
9 come back to at the end of these submissions, we think  
10 that pressure would be entirely appropriate, given the  
11 context.

12 We would also urge within that accelerated procedure  
13 that service should be by close of business on Friday,  
14 17th December. If we were to have service of the  
15 applications only on Monday, the 20th, which I believe  
16 is a day on which King's Cross evidence is being taken  
17 in any event, so, of course, we, ourselves, and all the  
18 other legal teams, with the exception of Janine Sheff  
19 and her team, who are not involved at the King's Cross  
20 stage, we will all be in court on that day.

21 We think it is entirely reasonable to have, minimum,  
22 one working day before responding to what is  
23 an extremely important application. So if we were to  
24 have service by close of business on Friday, the 17th,  
25 that would, at the very least, give the legal teams for

1 the families the weekend to do some work. Those of us  
2 who aren't in court may be able to do some further work  
3 on Monday, the 20th, and we may be able to give you an  
4 indication in advance of the hearing, in the morning of  
5 the 21st, of our position, which would not only be of  
6 assistance to us, but would also be, we assume, of  
7 assistance to the court. We simply think that  
8 January 10th is too late for that process to happen.  
9 As regards disclosure generally, we, of course,  
10 accept that there has been disclosure of the transcript  
11 material. We are grateful for Mr O'Connor's indication  
12 that a substantial tranche of further material is likely  
13 to be disclosed within the next two weeks.  
14 My Lady, while we don't want to rake over old  
15 ground, we do need to just put this in context. We do  
16 have general concerns about the repeated slippage that  
17 there has been in the timetable and the fact that we are  
18 now very much up against it if we are to have  
19 a meaningful enquiry into radicalisation in early  
20 February and into preventability in mid to late  
21 February.  
22 My Lady, your comprehensive ruling in May, followed  
23 by the provisional list of issues, made very clear that  
24 these issues were to be explored. That was a matter to  
25 which the parties were live from a far earlier stage,

1 not only from February when these hearings commenced,  
2 but also prior to that, particularly given the  
3 involvement which a number of us have had throughout the  
4 ISC process and given the judicial review, which is  
5 currently stayed but which was started three and a half  
6 years ago.

7 In your order of 23rd June, my Lady, in paragraph 3  
8 you ordered disclosure within a month by 23rd July from  
9 the relevant parties, and in paragraph 4 you requested  
10 the names, contact details and a precis of the likely  
11 evidence of key witnesses, and for us to be in  
12 a position, six and a half months later, where we are  
13 just being told today of the likely numbers of  
14 witnesses, and without having that disclosure process  
15 completed, is problematic and it's a concern which we  
16 feel it's appropriate to raise.

17 You were given an assurance by Mr Hall, on 23rd July  
18 in court, regarding the efforts which would be made with  
19 disclosure, and we also received, just prior to the  
20 hearing on 4th October, a letter from Mr Smith where  
21 Mr Smith quite properly said he considered that the  
22 absolute latest by which the disclosure process could be  
23 complete and by which PII applications would have had to  
24 be made and decided was today, Friday, 3rd December.

25 So, my Lady, in considering the timetable, we

1 suggest that that context, particularly the timetable  
2 since May, but also the earlier context from February,  
3 when these hearings first started, and also with the  
4 judicial review in the background, suggest that  
5 an accelerated timetable is certainly appropriate. We  
6 simply cannot be in a position where the bereaved  
7 families are left getting limited information in early  
8 January and having to scramble throughout a five- to  
9 six-week period when they are dealing with evidence on  
10 Tavistock Square, evidence on the other generic issues  
11 to try to deal meaningfully with preventability.  
12 We have simply must have the material, including the  
13 witness statements, before the Christmas break, so we  
14 can adequately represent our clients when it comes to  
15 16th February and for these issues to be explored.  
16 I will just confirm there is nothing further.  
17 Thank you very much, my Lady. Unless there is  
18 anything I can assist with.  
19 LADY JUSTICE HALLET: No. That's extremely helpful,  
20 Ms Gallagher. Mr Saunders?  
21 MR SAUNDERS: My Lady, can I just say that I simply adopt  
22 what Ms Gallagher has already said to you about all of  
23 those matters?  
24 May I just add one other matter? As your Ladyship  
25 knows, in terms of the additional issues, the five teams

1 representing the families before you have, as it were,  
2 split a number of topics. One thing that has to be  
3 borne in mind, of course, is that if, for any reason,  
4 any of the other teams seek to be present before you and  
5 either cross-examine or take matters further or in  
6 a different direction, that can only happen after  
7 an individual team has gone back to the Legal Services  
8 Commission.

9 So there is that additional difficulty, that if  
10 a team or teams do seek to appear before you on  
11 a generic topic, such as preventability, that also is  
12 a matter that has to be built into the timetable, and  
13 what I am very anxious to avoid is that happening late.  
14 We have heard your Ladyship say on a number of  
15 occasions the timetable can't slip. We all have worked  
16 towards that, and it would be really unfortunate if the  
17 families felt that, because of delays that have  
18 occurred, not because of your Ladyship or your team but  
19 the fault of others, that there is a real problem with  
20 completing the inquest in the timescale you have always  
21 set out to achieve.

22 So that's why I simply add that to all the points  
23 Ms Gallagher has made and would endorse everything that  
24 she has said. We cannot wait until your Ladyship rises  
25 at Christmas. We must have that material before then,

1 in order to consider it in case applications further  
2 have to be made.

3 I appreciate your Ladyship has many of those points  
4 on board, but the families are unanimous and united in  
5 terms of what they seek, which is the material as early  
6 as possible.

7 LADY JUSTICE HALLET: Thank you very much, Mr Saunders.

8 MR SAUNDERS: Thank you, my Lady.

9 LADY JUSTICE HALLET: Mr O'Connor?

10 MR ANDREW O'CONNOR: My Lady, may I make just two or three  
11 points in response to Ms Gallagher and Mr Saunders?

12 As far as the number and quality of witnesses --

13 I think that was how Ms Gallagher put it -- we note the  
14 marker that she has put down. May I say that we would  
15 endorse the approach she has taken. She is clearly  
16 right that the two police forces and the Security  
17 Service have in mind to put forward corporate witnesses  
18 and, like her, we reserve our position as to the  
19 possibility of inviting you to call further witnesses,  
20 but, as she says, really that is a matter that has to  
21 await seeing the statements when they are served.

22 As far as the timing of the statements from those  
23 witnesses is concerned, my Lady, you have heard some  
24 argument on that issue in closed and, for obvious  
25 reasons, some of the detail of that argument cannot be

1 repeated in open proceedings.  
2 May I make it clear, in case it wasn't clear from my  
3 earlier submissions, that the dates that you reached  
4 a provisional view about were not simply the subject of  
5 easy agreement before you. It was a hard-fought debate  
6 and one in which we were pressing in the strongest terms  
7 for the earliest possible disclosure of those witness  
8 statements for precisely the reasons that Ms Gallagher  
9 has identified. Other submissions were made by  
10 Mr Garnham and others, and it was as a result of hearing  
11 those submissions that you reached the provisional views  
12 that I have referred to.

13 My Lady, there is one other point that is perhaps  
14 relevant. Mr Saunders has raised the question of LSC  
15 funding and I certainly don't want to get into the  
16 details of that, but in terms of the point that  
17 Ms Gallagher made about the preparation for this  
18 evidence taking place at a time when the  
19 Tavistock Square evidence and other evidence is being  
20 heard, it is perhaps relevant to bear in mind that  
21 Mr Patrick O'Connor, Queen's counsel is not involved in  
22 the scene evidence and, as I understand the position,  
23 the intention is that it is he who will take the lead.  
24 Indeed, that is the funding arrangement that has been  
25 put in place. It is he who will take the lead on behalf

1 of the bereaved families on the issue of preventability  
2 and he will not be in court dealing with the scene  
3 evidence. So he, at least, will be able to, we assume,  
4 have that time clear to prepare for the preventability  
5 hearing.

6 As far as the timing of the PII claims is concerned,  
7 my Lady, can I make clear, in case it was not clear,  
8 that the accelerated procedure that I referred to was  
9 not intended ever to apply to all of the PII claims.

10 For reasons that you have heard from Mr Garnham in  
11 closed session, the position is that some of the PII  
12 claims may be subject to the accelerated procedure.

13 Some of them will, in any event, on the basis of your  
14 present rulings, not be heard until early January.

15 Again, the reasons for that split and the timings,  
16 are reasons really which it is difficult to say any more  
17 about in this session.

18 My Lady, is there anything else?

19 LADY JUSTICE HALLET: No. That's extremely helpful. Thank  
20 you. Is there anything else anybody wishes to add?

21 Ms Gallagher, Mr Saunders, if I may say so, you have  
22 expressed your concerns with great moderation and good  
23 sense, and I do understand and share your concerns about  
24 the timetable. There are good reasons, as you have  
25 heard, for the dates upon which I had provisionally

1 decided and which I now confirm will stand.  
2 What I will say, however, is this: when I have fixed  
3 my timetable, the primary aim has been to ensure that  
4 the families have results in these inquests within  
5 a reasonable time scale. If we end up with a situation  
6 whereby the families say they need an extra few days,  
7 then I will consider such applications with sympathy.  
8 I am not encouraging any applications for people to  
9 say they are not ready, but it is absolutely essential  
10 that the bereaved families are satisfied that they have  
11 been able to assess and analyse all of the material that  
12 is made available.  
13 There is, of course, the very valid point that  
14 Mr O'Connor made that Mr O'Connor, I assume, is on  
15 standby, ready to spend many hours of many days going  
16 through all the material, leaving the very capable  
17 Ms Gallagher to do the scenes.  
18 So I will, of course, hear any applications if  
19 I have to, but I did hear at length as to why the dates  
20 upon which I have fixed were essential, but if it is of  
21 any consolation to Mr Saunders and Mr Tibber, obviously,  
22 and Ms Gallagher, I did receive many assurances  
23 yesterday that people really will be using their best  
24 endeavours to get material to Mr Smith as soon as they  
25 possibly can. The dates are dates that I am ruling

1 upon. That does not mean they can't be brought forward  
2 if the material becomes available before then.

3 Right. Is there anything else we need do today,

4 Mr O'Connor?

5 MR ANDREW O'CONNOR: Not from us, my Lady.

6 LADY JUSTICE HALLET: Mr Saunders, Ms Gallagher, anything  
7 else we need to do today?

8 MS GALLAGHER: My Lady, just one matter. We have obviously  
9 noted the potential issue regarding the additional  
10 witnesses. We would very much hope that, if we are in  
11 a position where we receive the witness statements in  
12 early January and we respond promptly indicating a need  
13 for further witnesses, we would very much hope that  
14 doesn't cause further delays. We have put down, to the  
15 extent we can today, a marker and we hope that that will  
16 be borne in mind by the parties.

17 LADY JUSTICE HALLET: Indeed. Well, I don't think you need  
18 to ask the inquest team to bear it in mind. As  
19 Mr O'Connor has said, they share your concerns as well.  
20 Is there anything else that we need to do today?

21 Thank you all very much. It is 10 o'clock on Monday.

22 THE CLERK OF THE COURT: 10 o'clock on Monday.

23 LADY JUSTICE HALLET: Thank you.

24 (12.35 pm)

25 (The court adjourned until 10 o'clock on Monday,

1 6th December 2010)

2